

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Verey
<b>Given Name</b>	Jill
<b>Person ID</b>	1287612
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Verey
<b>Given Name</b>	Jill
<b>Person ID</b>	1287612
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The current road network in Bamford will not be able to take the extra traffic of around 1000 additional cars from the housing development. Changing Norden Road into a one-way route will force all traffic from Norden, Bamford and Heywood into a huge one-way system causing extreme congestion at the busy times of the day. Traffic lights at the junction of War Office Road and Bury Road will only add to this congestion.</p> <p>There are no plans for any new schools, doctor's surgeries or shops to be built near the site. This will lead to additional car journeys to and from the site and within the Norden and Bamford area.</p> <p>There are no exceptional circumstances to justify building 450 executive detached houses on protected green belt land when there are many brownfield sites available.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The modification I am seeking is for JPA 19 Bamford/Norden to be removed from the PfE.